

5.144 golomb

	DESCRIPTION	LINKS	GRAPH
Origin	Inspired by [174].		
Constraint	golomb(VARIABLES)		
Argument	VARIABLES : collection(var-dvar)		
Restrictions	required(VARIABLES, var) VARIABLES.var ≥ 0 strictly_increasing(VARIABLES)		
Purpose	Given a strictly increasing sequence X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , enforce all differences $X_i - X_j$ between two variables X_i and X_j ($i > j$) to be distinct.		
Example	$\langle (0, 1, 4, 6) \rangle$		
	Figure 5.278 gives a graphical interpretation of the solution given in the example in term of a graph: each vertex corresponds to a value of $\langle 0, 1, 4, 6 \rangle$, while each arc depicts a difference between two values. The golomb constraint holds since one can note that these differences 1, 4, 6, 3, 5, 2 are all-distinct.		
Typical	VARIABLES > 2		
Symmetry	One and the same constant can be added to the var attribute of all items of VARIABLES.		
Usage	This constraint refers to the Golomb ruler problem. We quote the definition from [346]: “A Golomb ruler is a set of integers (marks) $a_1 < \dots < a_k$ such that all the differences $a_i - a_j$ ($i > j$) are distinct”.		
Remark	Different constraints models for the Golomb ruler problem were presented in [358].		

Algorithm

At a first glance, one could think that, because it looks so similar to the [alldifferent](#) constraint, we could have a perfect polynomial filtering algorithm. However this is not true since one retrieves the *same* variable in different vertices of the graph. This leads to the fact that one has incompatible arcs in the bipartite graph (the two classes of vertices correspond to the pair of variables and to the fact that the difference between two pairs of variables takes a specific value). However one can still reuse a similar filtering algorithm as for the [alldifferent](#) constraint, but this will not lead to perfect pruning.

See also

common keyword: [alldifferent](#) (*all different*).

implies: [strictly_increasing](#).

Keywords

characteristic of a constraint: disequality, difference, all different, derived collection.

puzzles: Golomb ruler.

Derived Collection

$$\text{col} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{PAIRS-collection}(x-\text{dvar}, y-\text{dvar}), \\ [> -\text{item}(x - \text{VARIABLES.var}, y - \text{VARIABLES.var})] \end{array} \right)$$

Arc input(s)

PAIRS

Arc generator

CLIQUE \mapsto collection(pairs1, pairs2)

Arc arity

2

Arc constraint(s)

pairs1.y - pairs1.x = pairs2.y - pairs2.x

Graph property(ies)

MAX_NSCC \leq 1

Graph model

When applied on the collection of items $\langle \text{VAR1}, \text{VAR2}, \text{VAR3}, \text{VAR4} \rangle$, the generator of derived collection generates the following collection of items: $\langle \text{VAR2 VAR1}, \text{VAR3 VAR1}, \text{VAR3 VAR2}, \text{VAR4 VAR1}, \text{VAR4 VAR2}, \text{VAR4 VAR3} \rangle$. Note that we use a binary arc constraint between two vertices and that this binary constraint involves four variables.

Parts (A) and (B) of Figure 5.279 respectively show the initial and final graph associated with the **Example** slot. Since we use the MAX_NSCC graph property we show one of the largest strongly connected component of the final graph. The constraint holds since all the strongly connected components have at most one vertex: the differences 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 that one can construct from the values 0, 1, 4, 6 assigned to the variables of the VARIABLES collection are all-distinct.




Figure 5.279: Initial and final graph of the goLomb constraint

20000128

971